ABSTRACT

Deposits composed of aragonite prisms, which were formed after the outer shell layer, have been found at the posterior steep slopes of divaricate ribs in two species of Strigilla and another two of Solecurtus. These prisms have their axes oriented perpendicular to the outer shell surface and differ in morphology from fibres of the surface-parallel composite prisms forming the outer shell. They display crystalline features indicating that, unlike crystals forming the outer shell surface, their growth front was free, unconstrained by the mantle or periostracum. These particular deposits are called free-growing prisms (FGPs). In these genera the periostracum is clearly not the substrate for biomineralization and, upon formation, does not adhere to the steep slope of ribs, but detaches at the rib peak and reattaches towards the posterior, just beyond the foot of the posterior scarps of ribs. In this way, a sinus or open space developed between the internal surface of the periostracum and the outer shell surface along each steep rib slope. These spaces could remain filled with extrapallial fluid after the mantle advances beyond that point during shell secretion. FGPs grow within this micro-environment, out of contact with the mantle. Other species with divaricate ribs do not develop FGPs simply because the periostracum adheres tightly to both rib slopes (which are never so steep as in Solecurtus and Strigilla). FGPs constitute one of the rare cases of remote biomineralization in which aragonite is produced and direct contact with the mantle never takes place.

INTRODUCTION

Present-day models for shell biomineralization in bivalves imply the presence of the mantle as an essential element in calcification (e.g., Crenshaw, 1980; Wilbur & Saleeuddin, 1983; Lowenstam & Weiner, 1989, and references therein). During shell secretion, ions (Ca²⁺, CO₃⁻, HCO₃⁻) and organic components are released by the mantle into the extrapallial space, which becomes the microenvironment of shell deposition. Chinzei & Seilacher (1993) showed the presence of a varied array of calcite deposits in the cavities between shell layers left by the mantle in the attached valve of several ostreoids. These authors convincingly interpreted these structures as having formed after closure of the chambers. They used the term ‘remote biomineralization’ to denote processes in shells without direct influence from living tissue. Other examples studied in recent and fossil cephalopods (Seilacher & Chinzei, 1993) differ in that the mantle or siphuncular tissue at some stage made contact with the deposit. Kemperman & Gittenberger (1988) illustrated crystalline deposits of aragonite and, possibly, vaterite (J.M. García-Ruiz, personal communication) within the hollow ribs of Clausiliidae (Gastropoda), which represent a unique case of remote biomineralization.

During research on the formation of divaricate and other kinds of oblique ribs of bivalves, we found particular deposits of aragonite occurring at the steep slopes of divaricate ribs of some species of the tellinoidean genera Strigilla and Solecurtus. Their appearance and distribution suggest that they form independently from the rest of the shell. Here we interpret these deposits as a particular case of remote biomineralization. Their inferred mode of formation provides insight into the morphodynamics of divaricate ribs in these genera.

MATERIAL AND TECHNIQUES

The above mentioned crystalline deposits were found only in the Tellinidae Strigilla polyaulax Tomlin & Shackelford and S. pisiiformis, (Linnaeus) and in the Psammobidae Solecurtus strigilatus (Linnaeus) and S. philippinensis Dunker, from a larger sample of species with divaricate or oblique ribs. All the material examined is listed in Table 1.

Variously prepared samples were examined by SEM in a Zeiss DSM 950. Intact samples of the above
species, with and without periostracum (removed with sodium hypochlorite) were used to discern surface features. Radially fractured valves (slightly etched in 1% hydrochloric acid) of the species of Solecurtus and Strigilla were also examined. Finally, some shell pieces of S. strigilatus and S. polyaulax were embedded in epoxy resin, cut radially, ground and etched.

Calcium carbonate polymorphs were determined by both crystal morphology and immersion in Feigl's (1937) solution, in which aragonite stains black in a matter of minutes, whereas calcite needs a much longer time.

**GENERAL SHELL FEATURES**

*Strigilla* species display thin and closely spaced ribs, which have both a radial and posterior growth component in the central area of the shell. In *S. pisiformis*, there is a posterior and an anterior bifurcation radial line (Fig. 1A), while in *S. polyaulax* the anterior shell sector contains purely commarginal ribs. In the much larger specimens of *Solecurtus*, ribs are directed anteriorly and the bifurcation line is placed more (*S. philippinensis*) or less (*S. strigilatus*; Fig. 1B) anteriorly. The anterior most area is unsculpted.

Ribs are invariably asymmetric in profile with an abrupt, almost vertical posterior face and a gentle anterior slope. This feature is related to their main burrowing-enhancing function (Stanley, 1970; Seilacher, 1972).

In both genera the aragonitic shell has a thin outer denticular composite prismatic layer, in which the long axes of prisms are parallel to the shell surface (Figs. 2F & 3G). Measured thicknesses vary from 20–40 μm in *Solecurtus* and 60–100 μm in *Strigilla*. The underlying layer is crossed-lamellar, with lamellae running trans-
verse to the growth surface in both genera. This distribution of shell layers agrees completely with the one described for *S. strigilatus* and other tellinoideans by Taylor, Kennedy & Hall (1973, Table 10). According to these authors there is an innermost layer, behind the pallial line (complex crossed-lamellar in *S. strigilatus*), which we have not examined.

The periostracum was well preserved in live-taken specimens of *Solecurtus* (Fig. 2A), especially towards the most recently secreted part of the shell. Tiny periostracal remains were observed under SEM in *S. polyaulax*, but were absent in the specimens (apparently all taken stranded) of the other species.

LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION OF FREE-GROWING PRISMS (FGPs) IN *STRIGILLA* AND *SOLECURTUS*

Shell surfaces have a polished appearance when viewed by SEM, except for the low relief of the boundaries between composite prisms in *Solecurtus* (Fig. 2B). Only when going from the gentle to the steep slopes of ribs in an anterior direction does the shell become rougher in appearance (Figs. 2B, C & 3A, D). This is caused by deposits consisting of prismatic crystals which are markedly coarser than the fibres of the underlying prisms (Fig. 2F, G, H & 3H). These crystals grow perpendicular to the shell surface, reach irregular heights and display clear crystalline endings (Figs. 2E & 3C). This pattern indicates that their growth front was free and not limited by a common mantle or periostracum surface, contrary to what is usual in the bivalve shell. On the basis of this character, we will call them free-growing prisms (FGPs).

In *Solecurtus*, FGPs display typical pseudo-hexagonal transverse sections (Fig. 2D, E), which reflects polysynthetic twinning of aragonite crystals (J.M. García-Ruiz, personal communication). The aragonitic nature of FGPs is also revealed by their higher degree of staining than the rest of the aragonitic shell after immersion in Feigl’s solution for 20 minutes. FGPs are perpendicular to the shell surface in transversal section, but they show a marked change in orientation with respect to the (more horizontal) fibres of the underlying prisms (Fig. 2F, G, H). They differ also in being several times wider (1–2 μm wide) compared to lesser units of prisms (Fig. 2D, G, H). These deposits also line the grooves provided by the boundaries between prisms growing adjacent to the ribs, which apparently do not completely close off the volume underlying the periostracum (Fig. 2C). Some growth lines originating at the lowest part of the step and vanishing posteriorwards are also composed of this kind of material (Fig. 2B).

In *Strigilla*, FGPs are also found at the steep posterior slopes of ventral ribs (Fig. 3A, B, D), but neither at the posterior nor the anterior ribs. The arrangement of crystals is very similar to that of *Solecurtus*. The growing edge of the shell of *Strigilla* strongly reflects backwards to become almost parallel to the outer surface of the shell (Fig. 3E, G), which causes the fibres of the horizontal composite prisms to become perpendicular to the shell surface. FGPs grow with the same orientation, extending the fibres of the prisms (from which they differ in being larger, 1–3 μm wide) (Fig. 3H). In surface view,
The irregular development of FGPs indicates that the growth surface of crystals is free and unconstrained by the presence of a mantle or inner periostracal surface. That they are not deposited by the mantle is evident also from their texture (coarser than the rest of the shell; Figs. 2F, H & 3C). These fibres radiating both outwards and forwards end at the inner surface of the periostracum. Therefore, unlike unionids (on which current models of bivalve shell formation have been established; see, e.g., Petit, David, Jones & Hagler, 1980; Saleuddin and Petit, 1983) the periostracum is not the substrate for biocalcification. Since the periostracum is secreted at the (shell-secreting) margin of the outer mantle lobe (Fig. 4), it may not reproduce exactly the shape of the shell margin and thus may not adapt tightly to the shell’s outer surface. The steps corresponding to ribs are present only at the very mantle margin, but vanish progressively towards the bottom of the periostracal groove. In the case of Strigilla, this feature could be enhanced by the fact that the mantle lobe has to extend drastically to reflect over the shell, this being particularly true for the steep side of ribs which are secreted by angular mantle extensions (Fig. 3E).

In Solecurtus and Strigilla, when we consider only the shell directly deposited by the mantle, the steep side of a given rib has a concave profile (Fig. 2G). Thus, before FGP deposition there are sinuses below the periostracum, along the posterior sides of ribs.

The irregular development of FGPs indicates that the growth surface of crystals is free and unconstrained by the presence of a mantle or inner periostracal surface. That they are not deposited by the mantle is evident also from their texture (coarser than the rest of the shell; Figs. 2D, F & 3H) and, in Solecurtus, from their different orientation than the fibres forming the composite prisms (Fig. 2H). The conclusion is that they grow at the interstices left between the concave surfaces of the rib steps and the periostracum (Fig. 4). Since they may reach the very margin of the shell, they have to form shortly after the mantle secreted that part of the shell. Some radial grooves in S. polyaulax, which are lined with FGPs can be interpreted as radial tension wrinkles developed on the
periostracum which were not originally filled with mantle deposits (Fig. 3D).

Whether the mantle is extended during calcification periods or contracted, it is consistently found at the distal ends of the channels formed as described above (Fig. 4). Therefore, it can be assumed that during the bivalve’s life these sinuses would still have been filled with extrapallial fluid (at least for a short time), provided that the periostracum is well preserved. FGPs can grow within these spaces, since, given its extrapallial fluid is a medium especially suitable for calcium carbonate deposition (Crenshaw, 1972, 1990; Wada & Fukinuki, 1976; Wilbur & Saleuddin, 1983). FGPs can be classified as remote biominerals since their deposition takes place without contact with the mantle, although directly influenced by the fluids deriving from it. Crystal growth proceeds by epitaxy, on the substrate provided by fibres of composite prisms, as evidenced by the fact that FGPs grow as a continuation of fibres and are evenly oriented (Fig. 3C). The change in orientation recorded in Solecurtus (see above) comes from the need of FGPs to grow perpendicular to the substrate in their mutual competition for space.

Alternatively, FGPs can be interpreted as early marine cements formed during the bivalve’s life where the outer shell surface is directly exposed to sea water and, in particular on the posterior rib slopes. This interpretation is not supported here, since in the forms examined the periostracum has been largely lost, being preserved only at a certain distance from the margin. No particular FGPs, outside the posterior rib sides, have been found associated with these areas.

As commented above, other species do not develop FGPs associated with their divaricate ribs (Table 1). In the light of the above model of formation, this absence is understandable for several reasons. In Solecurtus and Strigilla, voids between the calcified shell and the periostracum are formed because the steep sides of the ribs are more or less concave before FGP deposition, and the periostracum spreads flat across. In the species reportedly lacking FGPs, this is never the case. In Acila (Fig. 5A) and Myllita there is little difference in slope between the two gentle sides of ribs. In Digitaria it can easily be seen that the periostracum adapts tightly to ribs, which are quadrate in profile and have vertical sides (Fig. 5B). In the remaining genera, ribs are more (Divaricella, Divalucina; Fig. 5C) or less (Gari; Fig. 5D) markedly asymmetric, but the steep sides of ribs are neither vertical nor concave. In addition, FGPs are not present in the posterior area of the Strigilla shell, where ribs have more symmetric profiles. In conclusion, divaricate ribs of Solecurtus and Strigilla are unique in their profile. The question remains as to whether the periostracum is the substrate for shell deposition in some of the species mentioned, which would prevent local detachment from the shell.

**FINAL REMARKS**

FGPs are described here for the first time in bivalves. These deposits are aragonitic simple prismatic in microstructural terms. The major difference from the equivalent normal shell microstructure in bivalves is the free crystalline endings of prisms. FGPs resemble morganic crystals in that their growth front was not limited at any time by the surface of the mantle. They are, therefore, reminiscent of some calcereous skeletons on which the organic phase...
exerts little control and which are largely dominated by physicochemical processes (Lowenstam, 1981; Constantz, 1986; Harper, 1992). Since they appear to have grown within the extrapallial fluid, but not in contact with the mantle, they constitute a case of remote biomineralization, and the only one reported in bivalves which is aragonitic. Within Mollusca, Kemperman & Gittenberger (1988) found aragonite crystals, usually arranged into sheets, within the hollow ribs of the pulmonate clausiliid Albinaria. Unlike bivalve FGPs, crystals in Albinaria have unevenly oriented crystallographic axes. They are probably formed on the surface of droplets of extrapallial fluid trapped within the internal spaces of ribs. This case is presently under study.

From the constructional standpoint, FGPs are what Seilacher (1973) termed 'fabricational noise', that is, by-products of the very process of fabrication, which allows one to make morphogenetic inferences. FGPs probably have no adaptive value. On the contrary, they flatten an otherwise concave rib profile, which would probably be more effective in gripping sediment grains to prevent backward slippage when the foot probes into the sediment during burrowing. This implies that the bivalve mode of shell formation poses limits for the profiles of divaricate ribs.
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