Significance of p53 expression in non-tumoral epithelium adjacent to oral squamous cell carcinomas


Abstract
Alterations of the p53 gene are the most frequently documented genetic abnormalities in human cancer. The aim of the present study was to analyse if this alteration is an early event in oral tumorigenesis and if the suprabasal expression of p53 is a marker of the presence and severity of epithelial dysplasia. Immunohistochemical p53 expression in 78 specimens of oral squamous cell carcinoma and non-tumoral adjacent epithelium was analysed. Non-tumoral epithelium was observed in 53 cases (67.9 per cent), being normal in six cases (7.6 per cent), hyperplastic in 24 cases (30.7 per cent) and dysplastic in 48 cases (61.5 per cent). Epithelial dysplasia was mild (23 cases, 47.9 per cent); moderate (23 cases, 47.9 per cent) and severe (two cases, 4.1 per cent). Twenty-one cases of the dysplasias (43.8 per cent) expressed p53. No p53 expression appeared in normal epithelium. Basal p53 expression always appeared in mild dysplasias (two cases). Suprabasal p53 expression appeared in mild and moderate dysplasias in nine cases and in one severe dysplasia. No statistical correlation was observed between suprabasal expression of p53 and the presence or severity of the dysplasia. The expression of p53 is an early event in oral tumorigenesis but it does not behave as an objective marker of the presence or severity of epithelial dysplasia.
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Introduction
The p53 gene is a tumour suppressor that codifies a protein with a molecular weight of 53 kilo Daltons that can arrest the cell cycle at the late G1 phase in cells with sub-lethal damage in their genome until their complete repair, or induce apoptosis in cases of irreparable injury. Alterations of the p53 gene are among the most frequently documented genetic abnormalities in human cancer. These alterations also seem to be related to the multi-step processes of oral carcinogenesis but some issues have yet to be elucidated. For example, it is not known whether p53 gene alterations are an early, or late, event in oral tumorigenesis.

Several authors considered the analysis of non-neoplastic epithelia adjacent to the oral cancer to be a good study model to determine whether p53 disorders occur early or late in the oncosgenesis. They assumed that p53 alterations in these epithelia do not result from the inductive effect of the tumour but rather precede the development of the cancer. Regezi et al. considered that if the epithelial expression of p53 is the result of a genetic mutation, the change is irreversible and thus indicative of evolution to invasive carcinoma within an unpredictable period of time. In contrast, other authors pointed out that expression of p53 protein in epithelia with dysplasia could simply indicate that the p53 system is functioning correctly and is active in genetically stressed cells, which might from that point be repaired or brought to apoptosis, or might enter the cycle of malignant transformation. In normal conditions, the basal layer is the only epithelial site where cell proliferation is produced, while in suprabasal layers there is only maturation, so that the basal and suprabasal expressions of p53 probably have distinct implications. The basal expression of p53 presumably responds to the accumulation of normally functioning wild-type protein, suprabasal expression could indicate the presence of mutated protein in DNA-damaged proliferative cells. If this is the case, as claimed by Cruz et al., the suprabasal immunostaining of p53 protein could behave as an objective marker of the presence and severity of epithelial dysplasia, since it would appear in proliferative cells in epithelial layers where only maturation should exist. This is an important issue, since epithelial dysplasia is the
most widely used indicator of precancerous lesions, despite the drawback that its assessment is highly subjective.16,17
The present study aimed to analyse whether p53 expression is an early event in oral tumorigenesis and whether the suprabasal expression of p53 behaves as an objective marker of the presence and severity of epithelial dysplasia.

Material and methods
Stored formal-fixed paraffin-embedded surgical specimens were studied from 78 patients (mean age 62.7 ± 12.2 years) treated for intraoral (n = 40) and lip (n = 38) cancer at Granada University clinic hospital. A 5 µm section from each paraffin block was used for haematoxylin-eosin staining. In each sample the presence of non-neoplastic epithelium adjacent to the tumour was classified as normal, hyperplastic or dysplastic; the epithelial dysplasia was assessed as mild, moderate or severe according to the criteria of the single pathologist who performed the observation.

Immunohistory
A 3–5 µm tissue section of each paraffin block was used for the immunohistochemical analysis. After deparaffinization and dehydration, the endogenous peroxidase was blocked by incubation for 30 minutes in 0.3 per cent H2O2 in methanol. Non-specific binding was inhibited by incubation with 1:20 dilution of normal horse serum for 60 minutes. Sections were incubated in 1:50 and 1:100 dilution of monoclonal anti-p53 protein antibody Bp53-12 overnight at 4°C in a humidified chamber. Biotinylated anti-mouse IgG (Vector Lab., USA) was applied at a dilution of 1:200 for 30 minutes, followed by streptavidin peroxidase (Vector Lab., USA) at 1:100 for an additional 30 minutes. Finally, the peroxidase activity was developed by the 3,3-diaminobenzidine hydrogen peroxidase reaction. Primary antibody was replaced by normal goat serum and a known p53-positive squamous cell carcinoma was used for negative and positive controls, respectively. Antigen retrieval methods were used in this study.18 The nuclear expression of p53 protein was assessed in the cells of non-tumoral epithelia adjacent to the cancer. The p53 protein staining was classified according to its presence in the basal layer and/or suprabasal layers; three categories were defined: no p53 expression, nuclear staining confined to basal cells, and nuclear staining in suprabasal cells. The nuclear expression of p53 in the tumour was classified as negative (0 per cent p53-positive tumour cells), mild (<25 per cent), moderate (25–50 per cent) or extensive (>50 per cent p53-positive tumour cells).19 The degree of tumour differentiation was evaluated as well-differentiated (WD), moderately-differentiated (MD) and poorly-differentiated (PD).

Statistical analysis
The arithmetic means, standard deviations and percentages were determined. The Chi-squared test and the Spearman non-parametric correlation coefficient were applied when appropriate. p<0.05 was considered significant.

Results
Non-tumoral epithelium adjacent to the cancer was observed in 53 (67.9 per cent) of the 78 tumours in the series. Normal epithelium was observed in six cases (7.6 per cent), hyperplastic epithelium in 24 cases (30.7 per cent) and dysplastic epithelium in 48 cases (61.5 per cent). The epithelial dysplasia was assessed to be mild in 23 cases (47.9 per cent), moderate in another 23 cases (47.9 per cent) and severe in two cases (4.1 per cent). No p53 expression appeared in any normal epithelium adjacent to the tumour. In adjacent hyperplastic epithelia there was no p53 expression in 18 cases, basal expression in one case and suprabasal expression in five cases. p53 expression in adjacent dysplastic epithelia is shown in Table 1 and Figure 1.

In the tumoral tissue, there was no p53 expression in 33 cases (44.6 per cent), mild expression in 15 (20.3 per cent), moderate expression in another 12 cases (16.2 per cent) and extensive expression in 14 cases (18.9 per cent). Tumours were WD in 30 cases (40.5 per cent), MD in 35 cases (47.3 per cent), and PD in nine cases (12.2 per cent). No statistically significant relationship was found between tumoral expression of p53 and degree of tumour differentiation. There was a statistically significant relationship between p53 expression in the tumour (p<0.05). Thus, p53 expression appeared in more tumour cells when the adjacent dysplastic epithelium also expressed p53. There was no significant relationship between the suprabasal expression of p53 and the presence or severity of epithelial dysplasia in non-tumoral epithelium adjacent to the tumour.

| TABLE I | EXPRESSION OF P53 PROTEIN IN DYSPLASTIC EPITHELIA ADJACENT TO THE TUMOUR ACCORDING TO THE SEVERITY OF THE DYSPLASIA AND THE BASAL OR SUPRABASAL LOCALIZATION OF THE IMMUNOSTAINING |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| p53 +           | p53 -           | TOTAL           |
| Basal n (%)     | Suprabasal n (%)| n (%)           |
| Normal epithelium | 0 (0%)          | 0 (0%)          | 6 (11.8%)      | 6 |
| Hyperplastic epithelium | 1 (33.3%) | 5 (20.8%) | 18 (35.3%) | 24 |
| Mild dysplasia | 2 (66.7%) | 9 (37.5%) | 12 (23.5%) | 23 |
| Moderate dysplasia | 0 (0%) | 9 (37.5%) | 14 (27.5%) | 23 |
| Severe dysplasia | 0 (0%) | 1 (4.2%) | 1 (1.9%) | 2 |
| Total | 3 (100%) | 24 (100%) | 51 (100%) | 78 |
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There are contradictory reports on the possible value of p53 expression as a marker of the presence and severity of epithelial dysplasia. Cruz et al.\(^1\) found no significant association between suprabasal p53 expression and the histological grade of the dysplasia. Regezi et al.\(^1\) also found no association between the grade of the dysplasia and the percentage of p53-positive cells in premalignant oral lesions. In contrast, other authors\(^1\) reported a significant correlation between p53 expression and the grade of the dysplasia. Our analysis showed no significant association between the suprabasal expression of p53 and the presence or severity of epithelial dysplasia in non-neoplastic epithelia adjacent to the tumour. The subjectivity implied in the assessment by different pathologists of the presence and severity of epithelial dysplasia may account for the variability in the above results.

To summarize, p53 expression in epithelia adjacent to the tumour may be an early event in oral tumorigenesis and p53 expression in dysplasias of these epithelia may behave as a marker of greater p53 alteration in the tumour. Finally, the suprabasal expression of p53 was not an objective marker of the presence or severity of epithelial dysplasia.
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